UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,162	06/01/2006	Andrew W. Suman	Suman P-302	3018
	7590 09/25/200 SSOCIATES, P.L.L.C	EXAMINER		
56 MACOMB I	PLACE	JACOBSON, MICHELE LYNN		
MT. CLEMENS, MI 48043			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Cargillpatents@usa.net

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/596,162	SUMAN, ANDREW W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MICHELE JACOBSON	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
<i>,</i>	_					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	n panto dadyro, 1000 0.2. 11, 10	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application.	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	8) Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/596,162 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-13, drawn to a coated piston assembly component.

Group II, claim(s) 14, drawn to a coated piston assembly component.

Group III, claim(s) 15-22, drawn to a method of making a composition for coating a piston assembly component.

Group IV, claim(s) 23, drawn to a method of making a composition for coating a piston assembly component.

Group V, claim(s) 24 and 25, drawn to a method of making a composition for coating a piston assembly component.

Group VI, claim(s) 26-31, drawn to a method of coating a piston assembly component with an abradable coating.

Group VII, claim(s) 32-41, drawn to a method of coating a piston assembly component with an abradable coating.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I-VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:
- 3. Group I and groups II-VII lack the same or corresponding special technical feature of the coating on the piston assembly having from "about 20 to about 90% mass

Application/Control Number: 10/596,162

Art Unit: 1794

of its geometrically theoretically calculated mass" recited in group I and therefore lack unity of invention.

Page 3

- 4. Group II and groups I and III-VII lack the same or corresponding special technical feature of the coating composition comprising a "polymer material selected from the group consisting of polymers, non-activated thermoset resin, thermoplastics and polymer waxes selected from the group consisting of fluoropolymer wax, polyethylene wax and polypropylene wax" recited in group II and therefore lack unity of invention.
- 5. Groups III-V and groups VI-VII lack the same or corresponding special technical feature because groups III-V recite of method of making a composition and groups VI-VII recite a method of coating which are unrelated methods. The methods of coating recited in groups VI-VII do not require melt-mixing an evaporative carrier-free mixture of a dry powder thermoset resin as recited in groups III-V and therefore lack a corresponding special technical feature.
- 6. Group V and groups III-IV lack the same or corresponding special technical feature of the method step of "melt mixing the small units of consolidated resin and filler composition to form a mass composite" recited in group V and therefore lack unity of invention. Group IV and group III lack the same or corresponding special technical feature of the resin being "selected from the group consisting of acrylic, polyester, epoxy, allyl, melamine formaldehyde, phenolic, polybutadiene, polycarbonate, polydicyclopentadiene, polyamide, polyamide imide, polyurethane, silicone and combinations thereof" recited in group IV and therefore lack unity of invention.

7. Groups VI and VII lack the same or corresponding special technical feature of the method step of powder coating recited in group VI and therefore lack unity of invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

8. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product

Application/Control Number: 10/596,162 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHELE JACOBSON whose telephone number is (571)272-8905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30 AM-7 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,162 Page 6

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michele L. Jacobson Examiner /M. J./ Art Unit 1794

/Carol Chaney/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794